arXiv:math/0102023v4 [math.MG] 2 Jun 2001

Algebra, Geometry & their Applications
Seminar Proceedings

DISCRETE VERSIONS OF THE BECKMAN-QUARLES THEOREM FROM THE DEFINABILITY RESULTS OF R. M. ROBINSON

Apoloniusz Tyszka
Technical Faculty, Hugo Kołłątaj University
Balicka 104, 30–149 Kraków, Poland
E-mail: rttyszka@cyf-kr.edu.pl

Abstract. We present shorter proofs of the discrete versions of the Beckman-Quarles theorem first proved in [5].

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 51M05, 03B30.

The classical Beckman-Quarles theorem ([1], [2]) states that if $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n > 1)$ preserves all unit distances then it is an isometry. In this note we derive the discrete forms of this theorem ([5]) from a Lemma proved there and from Robinson's results on geometric notions which are definable in terms of the unit distance ([4]). This new proof avoids reference to the deeper result of H. Maehara on rigid unit-distance graphs as well as the auxiliary results from pp. 128-132 in [5], and may thus be considered simpler.

For the remainder of the paper we fix an integer $n > 1$. For algebraic $r > 0$ let P_r denote a binary predicate, where $P_r(x, y)$ have the intended interpretation "the distance from x to y is r". Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the first order language with equality in which the only non-logical symbol is P_1. We say that a k-ary relation R in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is (existentially) definable in $\mathcal{L}$ (i.e. in terms of the unit distance using equality and logical connectives $\neg$, $\wedge$, $\vee$, $\Rightarrow$, $\Leftarrow$, $\Leftrightarrow$) if there exists an (existential) $\mathcal{L}$-formula $\phi(x_1, ..., x_k)$ such that

$$\forall x_1, ..., x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \ (R(x_1, ..., x_k) \Leftrightarrow \phi(x_1, ..., x_k) \text{ holds in } \mathbb{R}^n).$$

The following two results are special cases of results from [4]:

Theorem 1. (i) *All algebraic distances in $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be defined existentially in terms of the unit distance.*
(ii) *Let the algebraic numbers r and s satisfy $0 < s < r$. The local equidistance relation in $\mathbb{R}^n$:*

$$s \le d(K, L) = d(M, N) \wedge (d(K, L), d(K, M), d(K, N), d(L, M), d(L, N), d(M, N) \le r)$$

can be defined existentially in terms of the unit distance.

Theorem 1 in [5] states that, if $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $d(X, Y)$ is an algebraic number then there exists a finite set $\{X, Y\} \subseteq S_{XY} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that
$(*)$ each map $f : S_{XY} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ that preserves unit distance also preserves the distance between X and Y.

It means that all positive algebraic distances in $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be defined existentially in terms of the unit distance without using equality and using only conjunction.

Theorem 3 in [5] states that, if $K, L, M, N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $d(K,L) = d(M,N)$ then there exists a finite set $\{K,L,M,N\} \subseteq C_{KLMN} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, such that
($\diamond$) each map $f : C_{KLMN} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ that preserves unit distance satisfies $d(f(K), f(L)) = d(f(M), f(N))$.

From item (i) of Theorem 1 follows the existence of S_{XY} with the weaker property ($*$) admitting only injective $f : S_{XY} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\forall P, Q \in S_{XY}\ (d(P,Q) = 1 \Leftrightarrow d(f(P), f(Q)) = 1).$$

We will denote this weaker property by ($w*$).

From item (ii) of Theorem 1 follows the existence of C_{KLMN} with the weaker property ($\diamond$) admitting only injective $f : C_{KLMN} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\forall P, Q \in C_{KLMN}\ (d(P,Q) = 1 \Leftrightarrow d(f(P), f(Q)) = 1).$$

We will denote this weaker property by ($w\diamond$).

In [5] we have proved the following:

LEMMA . *If $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ then there exists a finite set $\{X, Y\} \subseteq T_{XY}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, such that each unit distance preserving mapping $f : T_{XY}(\varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $|d(f(X), f(Y)) - d(X,Y)| \le \varepsilon$.*

If we set $\varepsilon = \frac{d(X,Y)}{2}$ in this Lemma, we deduce that $X \neq Y$ implies $f(X) \neq f(Y)$, if we set $\varepsilon = \frac{|d(X,Y)-1|}{2}$, we deduce that $d(X,Y) \neq 1$ implies $d(f(X), f(Y)) \neq 1$.

Using this observation, we get the following result, which represents a new proof of the two main results in [5]:

THEOREM 2. *If $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a set $\{X, Y\} \subseteq S_{XY} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies ($w*$), then*

$$\widetilde{S}_{XY} := S_{XY} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{P,Q \in S_{XY} \\ P \neq Q}} T_{PQ}\left(\frac{d(P,Q)}{2}\right) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{P,Q \in S_{XY} \\ d(P,Q) \neq 1}} T_{PQ}\left(\frac{|d(P,Q)-1|}{2}\right)$$

satisfies ($*$). (Since S_{XY} satisfying ($w*$) is known to exist by Theorem 1, we have proved the existence of a finite set satisfying ($*$)).

If $K, L, M, N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a set $\{K, L, M, N\} \subseteq C_{KLMN} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies ($w\diamond$) then

$$\widetilde{C}_{KLMN} := C_{KLMN} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{P,Q \in C_{KLMN} \\ P \neq Q}} T_{PQ}\left(\frac{d(P,Q)}{2}\right) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{P,Q \in C_{KLMN} \\ d(P,Q) \neq 1}} T_{PQ}\left(\frac{|d(P,Q)-1|}{2}\right)$$

satisfies ($\diamond$). (Since C_{KLMN} satisfying ($w\diamond$) is known to exist by Theorem 1, we have proved the existence of a finite set satisfying ($\diamond$)).

In [3] it was shown, by providing an infinitary definition of the segment congruence relation $\equiv$ ($AB \equiv CD$ if and only if $d(A,B) = d(C,D)$) in terms of P_1, that plane Euclidean geometry over Archimedean ordered Euclidean fields (all positive elements have square roots) can be axiomatized in the infinitary language $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1 \omega}$.

It is worth mentioning that our results from §1 in [5] imply, for the case of Archimedean ordered Euclidean fields, more than plain infinitary definability of $\equiv$

in terms of P_1. For such fields we have the following definition:

$$ab \equiv cd \Leftrightarrow \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{r \in \mathbb{Q}^+} \exists x \exists y (P_r(a,x) \wedge P_r(c,y) \wedge P_{1/n}(b,x) \wedge P_{1/n}(d,y)),$$

in which we think of the P_r and $P_{1/n}$ as abbreviations for their positive existential definitions in terms of P_1, these positive existential definitions are valid in any Archimedean ordered Euclidean field.

Received on 02.06.2001.

References

[1] F. S. Beckman, D. A. Quarles, Jr., *On isometries of Euclidean spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 810-815.

[2] W. Benz, *Real geometries*, BI Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim, 1994.

[3] V. Pambuccian, *Unit distance as single binary predicate for plane Euclidean geometry*, Zeszyty Nauk. Geom. 18 (1990), 5–8 (correction in: 19 (1991), 87).

[4] R. M. Robinson, *Binary relations as primitive notions in elementary geometry*, in: The Axiomatic Method, (L. Henkin, P. Suppes and A. Tarski (eds.)) North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1959, 68–85.

[5] A. Tyszka, *Discrete versions of the Beckman-Quarles theorem*, Aequationes Math. 59 (2000), 124–133.